







PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : <b>H04M 3/42, 3/56, 3/48, 3/523</b>	<b>A3</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/22802</b> (43) International Publication Date: 20 April 2000 (20.04.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/24387 (22) International Filing Date: 14 October 1999 (14.10.99) (30) Priority Data: 60/104,255 14 October 1998 (14.10.98) US (71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: TEMPLETON, Bradley, S. [CA/US]; 1113 Lochinvar Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94087-5102 (US). (74) Agents: MAJERUS, Laura, A. et al.; Fenwick & West LLP, Two Palo Alto Square, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (US).		(81) Designated States: CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i> (88) Date of publication of the international search report: 20 July 2000 (20.07.00)

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTERMEDIATION OF MEETINGS AND CALLS



## (57) Abstract

A computer-implemented method and system for assisting in the intermediation of realtime meetings, including telephone calls and face to face meetings. A user informs his system that he wishes to for example, make a telephone call. The system sends a request for a realtime meeting the specified target. Both the target and the requester queue the request. When both the requester and the target are mutually available, the realtime meeting can take place. Some embodiments cause this to happen automatically, some prompt the user to take action, such as dialing the telephone. Some embodiments allow the user to choose from among queued requests.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat. Application No  
PCT/US 99/24387A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 H04M3/42 H04M3/56 H04M3/48 H04M3/523

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 557 777 A (SIEMENS AG) 1 September 1993 (1993-09-01)  column 6, line 50 -column 8, line 28	1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24,25
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 009, no. 318 (E-366), 13 December 1985 (1985-12-13) & JP 60 150373 A (NIPPON DENKI KK), 8 August 1985 (1985-08-08) abstract  -/-	1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24,25

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

\*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

\*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

\*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

\*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

\*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*S\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 April 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

18/04/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Vandevenne, M

2

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

page 1 of 2

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internati. Application No

PCT/US 99/24387

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 016, no. 344 (E-1239), 24 July 1992 (1992-07-24) & JP 04 104554 A (NEC CORP), 7 April 1992 (1992-04-07) abstract -----	1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24,25
X	"VOICE SYNTHESIS FOR CONFERENCE CALLING BY INTERFACE TO VARIABLE DISTRIBUTION LIST" IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN,US,IBM CORP. NEW YORK, vol. 37, no. 1, 1 January 1994 (1994-01-01), page 285 XP000428778 ISSN: 0018-8689 the whole document -----	1,8,9,16
A	WO 98 21870 A (ROLM SYSTEMS) 22 May 1998 (1998-05-22) -----	
A	EP 0 539 105 A (AMERICAN TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH) 28 April 1993 (1993-04-28) -----	
A	EP 0 755 144 A (AT & T CORP) 22 January 1997 (1997-01-22) -----	
A	US 5 559 876 A (ALPEROVICH VLADIMIR) 24 September 1996 (1996-09-24) -----	

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Internat. Application No

PCT/US 99/24387

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0557777 A	01-09-1993	AT 174463 T DE 59309197 D ES 2126609 T	15-12-1998 21-01-1999 01-04-1999
JP 60150373 A	08-08-1985	NONE	
JP 04104554 A	07-04-1992	NONE	
WO 9821870 A	22-05-1998	US 5872841 A CN 1236519 A	16-02-1999 24-11-1999
EP 0539105 A	28-04-1993	US 5311574 A CA 2069574 A,C DE 69227973 D DE 69227973 T JP 2686029 B JP 7007555 A MX 9205998 A	10-05-1994 24-04-1993 04-02-1999 08-07-1999 08-12-1997 10-01-1995 01-05-1993
EP 0755144 A	22-01-1997	US 5684872 A AU 703432 B AU 6056096 A CA 2174978 A JP 9149137 A	04-11-1997 25-03-1999 30-01-1997 22-01-1997 06-06-1997
US 5559876 A	24-09-1996	AU 6894096 A CN 1200216 A EP 0847644 A JP 11512265 T WO 9709815 A	27-03-1997 25-11-1998 17-06-1998 19-10-1999 13-03-1997


Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : <b>H04M 3/42, 3/56, 3/48, 3/523</b>	<b>A3</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/22802</b>
		(43) International Publication Date: 20 April 2000 (20.04.00)
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/US99/24387</b>		(81) Designated States: CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
(22) International Filing Date: 14 October 1999 (14.10.99)		<b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
(30) Priority Data: 60/104,255      14 October 1998 (14.10.98)      US		(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 20 July 2000 (20.07.00)
(71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: TEMPLETON, Bradley, S. [CA/US]; 1113 Lochinvar Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94087-5102 (US).		
(74) Agents: MAJERUS, Laura, A. et al.; Fenwick & West LLP, Two Palo Alto Square, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (US).		

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTERMEDIATION OF MEETINGS AND CALLS



**(57) Abstract**

A computer-implemented method and system for assisting in the intermediation of realtime meetings, including telephone calls and face to face meetings. A user informs his system that he wishes to for example, make a telephone call. The system sends a request for a realtime meeting the specified target. Both the target and the requester queue the request. When both the requester and the target are mutually available, the realtime meeting can take place. Some embodiments cause this to happen automatically, some prompt the user to take action, such as dialing the telephone. Some embodiments allow the user to choose from among queued requests.

\* (Referred to in PCT Gazette No. 35/2000, Section II)

*FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY*

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## Method and Apparatus for Intermediation of Meetings and Calls

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) from U.S. Provisional  
5 Application No. 60/104,255 of Bradley S. Templeton, filed October 14, 1998, which is herein  
incorporated by reference in its entirety.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### Field of the Invention

10 The present invention relates generally to productivity tools and, specifically, to a  
method and apparatus that aid in managing telephone calls and meetings.

#### Related Art

Most people have experienced the phenomenon known as "phone tag." One person calls  
a second person and leaves voicemail. The second person returns the call, only to leave  
15 voicemail in turn. This sequence is repeated over the course of hours or days, leaving both  
callers frustrated by the fact that they have not spoken to each other in person. A similar  
phenomenon occurs when two people try to schedule conference calls and meetings or when  
two people try to get together for a face to face talk.

Even voice mail, faxes, pages, cell phones and e-mail have not solved this  
20 problem. In fact, they have only made it worse. Phone tag for voicemail, faxes and pages  
result in vast amounts of lost time -- not just time calling and leaving messages, but delays in  
getting important phone calls made. In addition, tremendous time is wasted trying to be  
available for important calls, and even more by being interrupted by calls at just the wrong time  
when real work is getting done.

25

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention, there is provided a method and apparatus to  
assist in the intermediation of telephone calls and meetings. The described embodiment of the  
invention is akin to a light on your desk that lights up when the person you are trying to reach is  
30 in her office and ready to take calls.

In this description, the person initially placing a request for a connection or call is  
termed the requester. The person he is trying to call, connect to, or meet with is called the  
target. It should be noted that the system of the target may end up calling the system of the  
requester in response to the requester's initial request. Thus, the terms requester and target refer

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



to the initial requester and his target, and do not necessarily identify who ultimately places a call or initiates a meeting. Both requesters and targets are sometimes referred to herein as "users" or "parties" if such usage seems to improve clarity of an explanation.

In a first embodiment, a requester indicates that he wants to call, meet with, or otherwise  
5 connect with a target. Such a call, connection, or meeting is called a realtime meeting. His system sends a request to queue a call to the target's system. If the target is available and wants to talk to the requester, a connection is made and the users proceed with their communication. Otherwise, the request is queued until both users are mutually available (or until a quorum is mutually available if there are more than two parties to a meeting). In other embodiments,  
10 requests are always queued before availability is determined.

In a first embodiment, the system of the users communicate directly. In other embodiments, the systems of the users communicate by way of intermediate servers, by way of a central server, or by any other appropriate method. Telephone calls can be made via a telephone system (such as POTS, a wireless system, or a cell phone), via Internet telephony, or  
15 via some other appropriate mechanism. (in the case of cell phones, the cell phone can act as a data processing system 100, keeping track of availability through actions such as pressing buttons on the phone, speaking to the phone, knowing when the user is moving and how fast (i.e., the difference between walking and driving), knowing if the phone is on the user's body (body heat), eventually knowing the user's exact location, both to decide availability and to  
20 route calls to landlines in that exact location, if desired.

Each user can set up priorities for the people who call or contact him. Requests from high priority persons will preferably interrupt the user, even if he is on another call. Requests from lower priority users will be queued. Alternately, requests from high priority persons will be flagged. Users can also rate other users. In embodiments where rating is enabled, the user  
25 and system can use the rating of the caller to prioritize, accept, or reject the request. The user can see ratings based on his own entries or ratings based on everyone who has rated an individual.

If a user is unavailable when a call is received, a request is queued. For queued requests, the system determines which requests have mutually available users and indicates that those  
30 calls can be completed. Availability is preferably determined based on a variety of factors, including whether the user is typing at his computer, whether the user is physically in the room, and scheduled periods of availability for a user. The calls that can be completed can be ranked according to a priority determination. Priority is preferably determined based on a variety of factors including who desired the call, the relationship of the callers, user-specified factors,

elapsed time since the call was requested, and anticipated call duration.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1(a) is a block diagram of the overall architecture of a data processing system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

Figs. 1(b)-1(d) are block diagrams of data processors in accordance with other embodiments of the present invention.

Figs. 2(a)-2(f) are block diagrams of various exemplary network organizations that can be used with the present invention.

Fig. 3 is a flow chart showing a method for requesting and completing a realtime message (RTM) between a requester and a target.

Fig. 4 is a flow chart showing queuing a request.

Fig. 5 is a flow chart showing checking the queued requests.

Fig. 6 is a flow chart showing an exemplary main loop that processes events.

Fig. 7 is a flow chart showing additional steps of the main loop of Fig. 6.

Fig. 8 is a flow chart showing how to make a call, which is a specific example of conducting a realtime meeting).

Figs. 9(a)-9(c) show exemplary user interface on a target's system.

Fig. 9(d) shows an exemplary user interface on a requester's system.

Figs. 9(e)-9(g) show another exemplary user interface on a user's system.

Fig. 9(h) shows an exemplary dialog box that enables the user to inform the system when he has completed a call using a telephone.

Fig. 9(i) shows an exemplary dialog box that enables the user to place an Internet telephony telephone call directly from the computer without using the telephone.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

##### General Discussion

The present invention may be implemented on a computer system, such as the caller's data processing system 100 illustrated in Fig. 1(a). It is contemplated that a person being called will have a similar system, as described herein. The data processing system 100 has at least one processor 102 and associated computer memory or computer storage 104. Memory 104 includes at least one software component 112 for implementing the tasks described herein. The described embodiments of the present invention may be performed when instructions contained in memory 104 or other appropriate memories are executed by the processor 102 or other

appropriate processors. The system also preferably includes a database 106. Certain implementations of the system can also connect to a telecommunications system 116 to place calls (or can use Internet telephony via network connection 114).

Data processing system 100 also preferably includes a network connection 114, such as a  
5 connection to a LAN, a WAN, and/or the Internet or to some other appropriate network. System 100 preferably includes an input device(s) 118 such as a keyboard, touch screen, or the like. System 100 preferably includes an output device(s) 120 such as a printer, display screen or the like. System 100 also includes a computer readable medium 124. Computer readable medium 124 may be any appropriate medium that has instructions and/or data stored thereon. These  
10 instructions and data may be loaded from computer readable media 124 into computer memory 104. Instructions and data can also be loaded into memory in the form of a carrier wave, or by any other type of signal over network connection 114.

System 100 also includes an operating system (not shown). A person of ordinary skill in the art will understand that the memory 104 and computer readable media 124 may contain  
15 additional information, such as other application programs, operating systems, other data, etc., which are not shown in the figure for the sake of clarity. It will be understood that data processing system 100 (or any other data processing system described herein) can include numerous elements not shown in Fig. 1, such as additional data, software and/or information in memory, disk drives, keyboards, display devices, network connections, additional memory,  
20 additional CPUs or processors, input/output lines, etc.

In the discussion herein, it will be understood that the invention is not limited to any particular implementation or programming technique and that the invention may be implemented using any appropriate techniques for implementing the functionality described herein. The invention is not limited to any particular programming language, operating system,  
25 or network protocol. The system may use any appropriate type of telecommunications systems, including but not limited to POTS (plain old telephone system), cellular telephones, wireless telephones, and Internet telephony.

Some or all of the instructions and data structures in storage area 104 may be read into memory from computer-readable media 124. Execution of sequences of instructions contained  
30 in the storage areas causes processor 102 to perform the process steps described herein. In alternative embodiments, hard-wired circuitry may be used in place of or in combination with software instructions to implement the invention. Thus, preferred embodiments of the invention are not limited to any specific combination of hardware circuitry and software.

In Fig. 1(a), database 106 stores the request of one party to call (or meet with) another,

along with various parameters and options about the desired call. Software 112 uses various metrics to decide if a party is available for a call, including explicit action (i.e., by the party running a program or invoking a function in a running program) and implicit measurements such as tracking keyboard and mouse activity, noise in the room, phone use, lights, door activity, motion sensors (and other devices used by security systems), "electric eye" etc. Computer network 114, for example, is a network connection such as the Internet or any LAN or WAN (or even modem calls) to allow some system (either one belonging to the caller or a central server) to determine that two parties that desire a call are both available or potentially available for the call (or until a quorum is available if there are more than two parties to a meeting).

Fig. 1(b) shows an alternate embodiment in which data processing system 100 is located between a telephone and the POTS system. Fig. 1(c) shows an alternate embodiment in which data processing system 100 taps into a line between a telephone and the POTS system. Fig. 1(d) shows an alternate embodiment in which data processing system 100 communicates with a PBX system via a network server. The network server communicates with the PBX system using the known CTI (Computer Telephony Integration) standard or another appropriate standard.

As discussed below, once a determination of mutual availability has been made, either of the users may take steps to initiate the call, either directly by computer control of the medium (the phone, or IP telephony or other media) or by informing one or both of the parties that the call should be made and asking one to manually place it (and the other to manually receive it).

#### Description of Certain Preferred Embodiments

The following paragraphs describe certain embodiments of the present invention that are part of a "The described embodiment" embodiment of the invention. The described embodiment of the present invention is akin to a light on your desk that lights up when a person you are trying to reach is in her office and ready to take your call.

In one embodiment, when Alice wants to call Bob, she doesn't just pick up the phone and dial. Instead she picks up the mouse and clicks on Bob, or enters his phone number, E-mail, or other address. (Alternately, Alice can dial a standard telephone and her dialing is simply input to a computer following the processes described herein instead of direct signaling of the traditional telephone network). These actions will register a desire to talk with either Bob's server, the central server or a local company intranet server, depending on the embodiment.

In this example, Bob is running the described embodiment client too. Because Bob's client is hooked to his screen saver and other applications, it knows when he is at his computer.

If he is, and ready for her call, then Alice might be told she can call right away. Bob's current number will pop up on the screen and she can dial it. With advanced phone systems, the computer might actually dial the phone or complete the call -- making it look to Alice just like a phone call: "dial" a number and a call is placed.

5           As they talk, Bob's and Alice's software clients are busy entertaining them and showing them ads. This situation is advantageous to advertisers because both are known to be present, and talking, but their eyes are free and drawn to the screen.

          Preferably, Bob and Alice's computers know when Bob and Alice are finished. They may have an inexpensive hookup to the physical phone wires, or the computer's  
10   microphone may notice a lack of talking for a few minutes. Or they may manually click, to indicate they are done, and either leaving, ready for other calls, or want calls held for a while. It only takes one of them to signal the termination of the call. Signaling the end of a call usually means that the user is available, although in certain embodiments, it may be up to the user to signal availability.

15           The system knows when Bob and Alice are at their desks, ready for calls. As soon as both of them are there at the same time it notices, and gets ready to set up the call Alice wanted. Thus, the embodiment avoids phone tag and interruptions.

          Figs. 2(a)-2(f) are block diagrams of various exemplary network organizations that can be used with the present invention. Fig. 2(a) shows an embodiment in which a requester's  
20   system 202 connects to a target's system 206 via a network 204 such as the Internet, an intranet, a wireless network, or some other appropriate network.

          Fig. 2(b) shows an embodiment in which a requester's system 212 connects to a target's system 216 via a telephone system 214 such as POTS, a cellular network, a wireless network, or some other appropriate telephone system. The systems may communicate data through, for  
25   example, touch tones or modem transmissions.

          Fig. 2(c) shows an embodiment in which a requester's system 222 connects to a target's system 226 via a network 224 and a telephone system 225. In such a system, messages about call availability might be sent via the network while the actual connection for the call is made through the telephone system.

30           Fig. 2(d) shows an embodiment in which a requester's system 236 connects to a target's system 232 (or a target's proxy) via a network 234, but in which the requester system connects to the target only via a telephone. For example, the requester may not have a software client installed on his computer. The requester can still indicate availability by calling the target system or a central server acting for the target (or the requester) and entering touch tones.

Alternately, a target system can be a specially adapted telephone.

Fig. 2(e) shows an embodiment in which a requester's system 242 connects to a target's system 246 via a network 244 and in which a central server coordinates the management of calls for the user systems. In at least one embodiment, the queue of waiting messages and databases for priority and sorting are located on the central server.

Fig. 2(e) also shows optional servers connecting the requester and target to the network. These servers can be, for example, proxy servers, firewalls, backups, or directory servers for the target or requester.

Fig. 2(f) shows an embodiment in which a requester's system 262 connects to a target's system 266 via a network 264. At least one of the user systems 262, 264 have a primary server 267 and a backup server 268 for added redundancy and fault tolerance.

Fig. 3 is a flow chart showing a method for requesting and completing a realtime message (RTM) between a requester and a target. (An RTM is also referred to herein as a "call" because many embodiments of the invention, the purpose of the embodiment is to mediate telephone calls.) Examples of realtime messages include telephone calls, face to face meetings, and conference calls between two or more people. In element 304 of Fig. 3, a requester sends a message requesting a realtime meeting. This request is sent to one or more targets. Alternately, targets and requesters may be associated with each other in an arbitrary graph based on requests between parties. For example, user A may request a meeting with user B, and B may request to add user C. All three parties would become parties to the meeting.

In step 304, an RTM is queued in the systems of all parties (i.e., for the requester and target(s)). As users become available, their systems signal the other systems of this availability. Similarly, if users become unavailable, this is also signaled.

In element 306, if there is mutual availability for the parties (or for a quorum if more than two parties), the users are so informed and allowed to initiate an RTM. In certain embodiments, the system initiates an RTM without user intervention when mutual availability is determined. In element 310, upon successful completion of an RTM, the RTMs are dequeued for the requester and the targets.

Fig. 4 is a flow chart showing queuing an RTM request. The queues generally reside on the user's systems. In a system with optional servers, if a user system receives an RTM request from user A to user B, the system looks up servers that handle requests to call user B. In element 406, if the system of user B does not accept the call, the system informs user A that the RTM request is denied. Otherwise, in element 408, the RTM request is recorded on user A's server and the system asks B's servers to record an RTM request from user A.

Fig. 5 is a flow chart showing checking the queued RTM requests. A user might not accept a request by user choice or preprogramming. In element 502, the system finds the queued RTMs that are for users who are mutually available. Mutual availability means, at a minimum, that both users are present and available to talk to or meet with each other. Various  
 5 embodiments of the present invention use various criteria for determining availability. For example, availability events can include but are not limited to:

- Start or end of call or other use of phone.
  - Off-hook: Unavailable
  - Recently off-hook: Probably available
- 10 -Recent activity at computer input devices (mouse, keyboard etc.)
  - Probably available
- Conversation near microphone
  - Available but possibly in meeting. Possibly Available after conversation stops.
- 15 -Lights turned on/off (based on time of day)
  - Possibly available if lights turned on recently and still on. Not available after lights off outside daylight hours
- Weight in chair or on floor
  - Possibly available if sitting in chair
- 20 -Motion sensor triggers/stops triggering
  - Available if recent motion sensed in room
- (others as needed)
- Opening/closing of door
  - User may configure "door closed" as a signal of unavailability.
- 25 -Spoken commands
  - Simple voice recognition (closest match) on phrases such as "hold calls" etc.
- Computer keyboard/mouse based commands
  - User may of course set availability state, or even browse pending calls using computer interface
- 30 -Touchtone commands
  - If system is able to listen to DTMF tones from phone, users may prefer to use these to substitute for computer commands.
- Remote access touchtone commands

If user is not at desk but using remote phone interface, touchtone codes (and caller ID information from incoming call) to control system, change location, set availability status.

5       -Scheduled periods of availability

User may have default periods when they are always available unless they signal otherwise or metrics signal otherwise. The system may determine his availability by reading the user's calendar.

10       In certain embodiments, availability can take several states, which interact with request priority. In addition, availability to a given party generally requires that there is not another party or parties for whom simultaneous availability is also present when the RTM with that party or parties is of higher priority.

Certain embodiments allow requesters and targets to specify their availability during a predetermined time period, such as the estimated duration of the RTM.

15       Once availability has been determined, mutual availability is determined. Mutual Availability communication and determination depends on combination of all user's privacy rights and demands for the particular communication. Options include but are not limited to:

1) Party always sends any change in availability status over network to other parties for whom an RTM request is queued.

20       Both systems immediately detect mutual availability, and move to call-setup negotiation

2) Party does not send availability changes, but queries remote availability for parties in RTM request queue from time to time or on change of status

25

3) Party transmits availability changes and status to trusted 3rd server. So do other parties. 3rd server detects mutual availability and informs one or both parties.

30

In all cases a proxy server may act for a direct user, and polling may take the place of "push" transmission of status/availability information. Availability status is user-specific. A user may be available to one person but not to another. Transmission of state information may be one-way, i.e. one party may be willing to tell the other of state, but not expect reciprocal



information. Normally the party desiring the call will reveal state, and the recipient will hide it unless specified otherwise. Queries, if made, may be anonymous or identified. Users may reject anonymous queries, and keep records of identified queries.

Any program hooked into the screen saver interface knows when a user is using his computer. If the user is using his computer, he is presumed to be in his office. And unless he does a simple click to ask to hold his calls, he is ready for calls. Other simple hardware can look into other clues, such as sound, or the lights being on. For the serious user, small pressure sensors in chairs or a pair of photocell door sensors could do the trick. Or the user's receptionist can track when he is ready for calls, as human assistants normally do.

If the user forgets to hold calls, his first call does not need to actually ring. It rings on the computer and pops up a window to ask if the user wants to engage it (see Fig. 9). The user can either do that, or hold calls as if he isn't in the office.

In element 504 of Fig. 5, the system sorts the messages having mutual availability in accordance with a message priority. These priority sorting factors can include, but are not limited to:

-Who desired call

Calls the user initiated are normally more important than ones others initiated to the user.

-Relationship (customer/supplier/family/friend/colleague etc.)

Calls with customer more important than suppliers. Calls with family/friends may be higher or lower in priority than others. Boss gets more priority than subordinates etc. All user tunable. For customers, level of service customer has purchased or other factors related to importance of customer may also factor in.

-Manually input priority factors (both users, both per call and per user)

User may specify default priorities for different people.

User may also examine screen of pending queued calls and alter their priority.

-Elapsed time since RTM requested

The longer somebody has been waiting for a call since asking for it, the more important.

-Expiration time on RTM request

If the caller indicated the call needs to take place before

a certain time, the closer it gets to this time the more important it is.

-Expected remaining time of availability

5 If one party indicated they will only be available for a limited time, such calls are more important.

-Anticipated call duration

If the call is expected to be short, it may get a higher priority.

-Past history (call difficulty, truthfulness, call frequency)

10 Parties not called frequently may get more or less priority.

Parties that have lied in providing call information may get much less priority. Parties with a history of the period between call queuing and initiation being long may get a higher priority. ("hard to reach people")

15 The system can be programmed to treat different people differently.

Some can get through when others cannot. A user's family might get through any time their request has a priority of urgent. The user's co-workers and key customers might have higher level access. Cold callers would have to identify themselves, and say what the call is about. That text would appear on the screen before accepting a call.

20 Cold calling stockbrokers might willingly identify themselves that way because anybody who lies more than a few times about their purpose in calling could become blacklisted in the ranking database (see for example Fig. 9(c)). If they try to call anybody at all, the fact that they are blacklisted will be featured prominently for the user to see before deciding to accept. Thus, while the user can use the described embodiment in a very simple fashion, to be in or out, if he wants to, he can also finely tune it to be in or out for  
25 different classes of people at different times.

In element 506 the system negotiates a call with a highest priority party. In element 508, the system places the call (or indicates a willingness to receive and waits for the target/system to place a call).

30 Figs. 6 and 7 are flow charts showing an exemplary main loop that processes events. The Server Main Loop preferably includes the following:

- Receive requests and status information from outside servers
- For those servers that can't push, or which haven't made contact  
in long period, poll at suitable polling interval

- Do polling based checks on user availability. (Also receive and respond to event based information about availability)
- Detect when both parties are available for call.
- Send status change information to secondary servers, and partner servers enabled for this information.

The events processed by the server include but are not limited to:

- User is available for a call-out
- User is unavailable
- User ready to be called
- Target now unavailable
- Target is available
- Target soon available
- Incoming RTM request
- Change an RTM request
- Overt availability request
- Call denied
- Synch request (from other server for user)
- New data from server

Fig. 8 is a flow chart showing how to conduct an RTM (for example, how to make a telephone call). In element 804, the system determines who should initiate the call and determines the medium to be used for the call. The described embodiment can also easily resolve problems of who calls whom. Traditional phone tag puts the cost of a call on whoever was "it" when the calls clicked, and business usually accepts this. But if desired and mutually agreeable, the system can be configured so that the person who first requested the RTM is asked to make the call. Or perhaps the supplier always pays for the call, even if the customer initiated it, like an 800 number. Perhaps the person with the most annoying call screening initiates the call, or the person for whom it is most convenient.

In some cases a user might not want people to even know his phone number. In this case he would ask to always make the call. In one embodiment, the parties request a central server associated with a telephone company to create the call by having the telephone company call both of the users and hooking them together, somewhat like a conference call. Thus, neither needs to reveal their telephone number. Alternately, the user might be at a phone booth, or hotel, or foreign telephone and have a hard time making, or receiving calls. He might not even be at his normal number. He might be on the road, and tell the system his temporary number

using its telephone or web interface. Then calls could be sent to him at his current location, even if the caller entered his "base" phone number when dialing.

In element 806, the user's system initiates or waits for a call. While waiting, it continues to receive events. If it initiates and the call fails in element 812, the user system sends a notice  
5 of the call's failure to the other party's servers and to the central server. Otherwise, the system waits for events (see Figs. 6 and 7). Certain embodiments may also display advertising or update status windows during a call.

In element 808, when the call ends, the system signals the end of the call to other servers and dequeues the call from the pending call list. Certain embodiments also log the call. In  
10 certain embodiments, the system also must explicitly state that its user is available.

Figs. 9(a)-9(c) show exemplary user interface on a target user's system. Fig. 9(a) shows an exemplary dialog box stating that a particular user ("Bob") has requested an RTM (call) with the target user. The target may decide to accept or reject the call. Fig. 9(b) shows an exemplary  
15 dialog box resulting from a request from a high priority user. In such a case, the message may appear on the target's screen even when the target is busy or not available to regular users. Fig. 9(c) shows an exemplary dialog box resulting from a request from a user who has previously been rated as untrustworthy (either by this target or by the community in general). His rating is displayed along with a notice that he desires a call.

Fig. 9(d) shows an exemplary user interface on a requester's system. In this example,  
20 the requester had previously tried to contact Bob, but was unsuccessful. Now Bob has become available. The requester can accept or reject the call with Bob.

Figs. 9(e)-9(g) show another exemplary user interface on a user's system, where the user is both a requester and a target for different ones of a plurality of RTMs. The display of Fig. 9(e) is preferably displayed on a user's system most of the time. The display shows the status of  
25 requests for RTMs that have been sent to or by the user. For example, if the user has been out of the office or otherwise unavailable, RTMs have been queued in his absence. The display includes, for each request, a status of the requester (e.g., in, out, or unknown); an age of the request; the name or identity of the requester, a priority of the request, a reason for the request (if provided by the requester) and information that the system knows about the requester (e.g.,  
30 telemarketer (93% probability). In the box of Fig. 9(e), an incoming call could cause the specified caller to blink and be moved to the top of the display, along with ringing-style sounds. Alternately, the specified caller could pop up in a dialog box allowing confirmation that the call should be accepted.

Fig. 9(f) shows a dialog box that preferably is displayed when the user returns to his

system and potentially becomes available (as determined by the system or as indicated by the user). This box (which could also include advertising) shows the number of requests for RTMs by the user that are pending, the number of requests for RTMs from other users to the user that are pending, and the number of users (both targets and requesters) that are available,  
5 unavailable, and unknown. In the described embodiment, the user may indicate that he wants to 1) become available immediately, taking the top priority pending request for RTM, 2) remain unavailable, or 3) remain unavailable, but decide to conduct some of the pending calls/RTMs. If the user conducts RTMs (makes calls or arranges meetings) he can dial these himself or he can let the system dial. As mentioned above, the user may initiate an RTM when he is the requester  
10 or when he is the target, as long as there is mutual availability between the target and requester. Preferably, if the user does not respond to the box in a predefined period of time, a default action configured by the user, such as remaining unavailable, is taken and the box removed.

Fig. 9(g) shows a dialog box preferably displayed when the user conducts an RTM (places a call or arranges a meeting). The dialog box shows one or more of the following,  
15 although it will be understood that other information or less information could also be displayed: that the user called (or that the other party called if appropriate); the call duration, the local time and remote time (e.g., PST and EST); the date of the last call with this user; the total number of calls with this user; the reason for the call (if provided) and other information about the other user from local databases. The dialog box also preferably includes call billing  
20 information, a link to the caller's web page, and the status information shown in Fig. 9(f) about pending calls.

In addition, the dialog box of Fig. 9(g) preferably includes buttons that let the user decide whether to end the call and take the next call (checked only at the end of the call); change the class of the call; end the call and hold calls; rate the caller (e.g., untrustworthy or high  
25 priority); change the type identifier of the call; configure special parameters for the user or the call; customization of the look and feel of the interface or other aspects of the software 112; and phone controls (if connected to a PBX via the CTI standard). The dialog box also preferably displays a picture of the caller.

Fig. 9(h) shows an exemplary dialog box that enables the user to inform the system  
30 when he has completed a call using a telephone. The user is preferably also prompted with information to help place the call.

Fig. 9(i) shows an exemplary dialog box that might occur when placing an Internet telephony telephone call directly from the computer without using the telephone.

The following paragraphs provide additional information about the above-described embodiments and additional embodiments of the present invention.

#### Call-Waiting

Not only can trusted people get through when the user is holding calls, they can even  
5 get through when he is on the phone (see for example Fig. 9). This embodiment tells the user who the caller is, and allows in most cases a quick text message or reply, similar to instant messaging, implementation of which is known to persons skilled in the art. In at least one embodiment, no beep sounds and thus, a person on the other end of the phone during a currently occurring telephone call does not know that another call is available to the user.

10

#### Not just phone calls

The described embodiment can also schedule face to face meetings in larger organizations, when two or more people are ready to meet, and want to do it as soon as all of them are in the office and free for a meeting. The embodiment notices this and gives them  
15 all a message to attend the meeting.

#### IP Telephony

If the user wants to use IP telephony (particularly over corporate intranets where it can work reliably, but also over WANs), the described embodiment knows whether  
20 both parties have such equipment, what quality of equipment they have, and whether they desire to use the equipment, and can arrange such a call. In some embodiments, it can actually make the call.

#### Netmeeting and Videophones

25 The described embodiment's database knows whether both parties have things like Microsoft Netmeeting or other data collaboration tools, and in particular if they both can send and/or receive video phone calls. If so, it can call Netmeeting for a shared whiteboard and other shared applications, and initiate a video call either on its own or to go with an ordinary phone call. Currently, nobody uses video phone calls because it is too  
30 much work to know if the other person has the equipment and set up the two ends simultaneously. The described embodiment can let the computer worry about all this.

#### Out of the office

In certain embodiments, paying customers could get a remote, touch-tone interface, so

that they can declare themselves available to be called at a remote number. Instructions can be left as audio files. With voice recognition or touch-tones, more complex directions could be given. Depending on how much they are trusted, users could also be led through the "try and find you" chain. The described embodiment could also control such systems, attempting to find the user and remembering when the user is located.

#### Privacy

Certain embodiments provide mechanisms to control the flow of personal information (such as when a user is in a specific place, such as at the phone.) Some parties will receive live updates of this information, some will not. Other embodiments allow the user to configure how much information can be sent to particular other users and when. For example, unknown other users would be classed as strangers, but some users might be classed as co-workers, family members or "intimates" of various levels. Such intimates would receive messages about the changes in status of the user regardless of whether they initiated the call request or the user did. Some intimates might even be able to query status before making a call request.

Normally strangers requesting calls would not be given information on the target's real time availability. Instead, they would send the target their own availability information, and let the target's system decide if the parties are now mutually available. Some embodiments will provide an interface for users to easily change the properties of callers and targets, particularly when a call/RTM is pending. Certain embodiments require a requester to provide a password before the target system will accept a request for an RTM.

#### Mistakes

Software 112 will make occasional mistakes about a user's availability. In a system that automatically determines availability, for example, if the user leaves his desk, it will take it a few minutes before the system decides that the user is gone and no longer available for calls. A few such calls will get through, but the other user can always call back.

From time to time, for people not on trusted lists, there will be race conditions, where A approves the call of B at the same time B is setting up a call with C. Reasonable system response times will cut any time wasted by this to a minimum.

#### Embedding

Preferably, software 112 is embedded in the screen-saver information-push tools, such as Pointcast, Backweb, Wayfarer, Netcaster, Netmeeting, and Active Desktop. Preferably, software

112 is also integrated with computer telephony systems, so everything is controlled by one system.

#### Call Centers

5           Call centers for customer support can use the present invention. Instead of keeping users on costly 800 number hold, the users could request RTMs intermediated by the user with the call center personnel. As soon as a customer rep was available at the call center and the user needing help was also available, the call would be placed.

          Call centers, thus, market or distribute the basic client software 112 to their own  
10 customers. Imagine the hold music at major companies saying, "Tired of waiting on hold? We don't want to make you wait. Download our client today and we'll call you when it's convenient for you."

#### Please Hold

15           Indeed, the present invention might eliminate the words "Please Hold" from the vocabulary at least for long-term holds. Instead, the requester would make his request for an RTM, disconnect and re-connect when both parties are ready. This use is especially effective with new phone methods like ISDN and IP telephony that have very low (under 1 second) call dialing and connection times. Integration with call center systems, and PBX computers can  
20 make the process almost invisible to users of those systems.

#### Components of a real-time multimedia meeting (RTMM) facilitation system

          An RTMM is typically a telephone call, but may be a real life meeting, video-call or a digitally intermediated meeting (chat, shared whiteboard)  
25 or any mixture of the above.

          a) A collection of recordings of the desire for one user of the system to have a one-time RTMM with one or more other users of the system. This collection may be centralized, or stored with "client" components of the system associated with the users, or a mixture of these.

30           b) Metrics to determine whether a given user of the system is ready and available for an RTMM, or an RTMM with specific other parties. These can include both direct input of desires or other status by the user to a software system, data gathered by observation of activity on the user's computer system, telephone or other electronic tools in the possession of



the user, or data gathered from transducers associated with the user, measuring such things as sound, the presence of lights, the presence of weight on the floor or in a chair, or motion or physical presence as detected by motion sensors or cameras. The use of the system itself to  
 5 arrange RTMMs also provides such a metric.

c) A central server to which these metrics are communicated, particularly when they change, so that the server can compute when all the parties to a desired RTMM are present, available and willing, and initiate the RTMM.

Alternately an ability for local clients to connect directly with the systems of other users to  
 10 communicate the metrics, compute whether the time is right for a call and initiate the RTMM.

d) Systems to initiate an RTMM, either by informing users it is now appropriate to make a specific telephone call or RTMM initiation (and possibly providing information on how to make the call), or actually initiating the RTMM through media such as computer-controlled phone dialers, computer controlled telephony, computer based telephony, or computer based or  
 15 controlled digitally intermediated meetings.

e) Systems to detect the end of an RTMM, either with metrics or input from the user, to alter the availability status of the users. In addition, upon successful creation of an RTMM, the recorded request for the RTMM is marked as having been acted upon, and is removed from or no longer active in the database.

20 f) Systems to allow users to exercise control over their availability for an RTMM with different other users or classes of users, and to optionally confirm desires before meetings are initiated.

g) Systems to record preferences of users regarding who should initiate and/or pay for manually set-up RTMMs and other policy matters, and to broker these decisions,  
 25 as well as preferences for types and times of meetings to broker these decisions as well.

#### Some example of certain preferred embodiments

Alice (A) wishes to call Bob (B), but B is not available. When they are both simultaneously available (and don't have a higher priority call pending), different things may  
 30 happen, depending on their relationship.

a) A and B are close associates

A is informed, and the call is initiated, normally by A. B's computer does display that the call is coming, but may not know about it until the phone rings.

b) Two less close associates:

B is informed of A's desire to call. B either confirms and the call is initiated. If A is to call, A will be informed B is available and will place the call. Otherwise B calls, and A may not know about it until the phone rings. A may also indicate she is not ready, and delay the call. B is informed of this briefly and the call waits for A to reverse this.

5 c) A and B are strangers

B is informed of A's desire. B may elect to delay the call, in which case A is not informed until B reverses this. B may refuse the call request, in which case A is informed, and perhaps gets a message stating the reason, or a suggestion about what to do to get accepted.

10 d) B may also discard the call, which means as far as A is concerned the call remains active until some "expire" time set by A, when unfulfilled calls vanish. A will probably figure it out.

e) A is a type of caller (phone solicitor) that B does not take calls from.

A is told immediately that the call is not accepted, but warned that misrepresentation may cause revocation of the right to make calls or requests

15 f) A declares the call to be urgent

If A is the sort of caller who can make such a declaration, B will be informed, on screen, of the call even if not totally available (i.e. in a call or meeting, or screen saver is active)

g) A is very close to B

20 If A is family or some other close relationship, A may be able to access information on B's status, for example how long it has been since B was available, whether B is in a call and perhaps even whom B is talking to.

#### Who pays for the call?

This preferably is determined by several factors:

25 -Who wanted the call?

-All other things being equal, the person who wanted to originate the call would dial the call and pay for it.

#### Additional details

30 A user might also have his computer screen calls and let in only the calls it has queued for him, either with caller-id or asking user to enter a couple of touchtones (they were given them when their computer ok'd that it was time for the call).

#### Computer intercepts incoming calls

The user's computer (presumably via voice capable modem) intercepts incoming calls. Delivers voice message, waits for touch tone codes.

a) If currently about to make call:

Indicate this, ask to queue, provide code for urgent over-ride (Detect caller-ID of  
5 expected call just in case).

b) If currently expecting a particular call

Indicate this, ask to enter code number for that call, or user caller-ID to identify user. Otherwise request caller hang up and queue their own call now or later. Allow urgent  
over-ride.

10 c) If not currently expecting or making call

Explain The described embodiment system. Accept permanent access codes or caller ID of known parties if available to them, and put such calls through. Otherwise tell caller how to queue a call.

d) If caller doesn't have computer, allow queue via touch tone, or assist in screening call.

15

Finding a server after entering ID of party the user wishes to call

If the local (optional) server is known from name, the system attempts to contact it. It does a DNS lookup to find codes for secondary servers, and attempts to contact them. It contacts a master server for information on what server to contact. Any server preferably can  
20 redirect attempt to another server.

For meetings instead of calls

Simply send instructions to all parties to meeting that it is time to meet, rather than initiating call

25

Multi-party calls

Await availability of all parties or all key parties plus some fraction of secondary parties. Then initiate meeting or conference call.

30 Forward-on-busy call forwarding

Second number receives call and uses caller-id info and other call screening techniques to duplicate screening process. This function may be at a central server rather than facility run by the user. An Emergency code can cause computer to inform user of emergency call.

Call Initiation types

System knows what sort of equipment each end of the call has, and the current situation of each party. These parameters affect how the call is actually brought about.

The parties confirm initiation or accept automatic initiation based on preferences and  
5 per-caller/callee preferences. While computer dialing is preferred if available, the user may elect to use manual dialing. If computer reception in place, automatic dial handles it automatically).

Computer reception vs. personal reception vs. receptionist

10 A party may answer own phone, or have computer device answer the phone as described above. A human or electronic receptionist may also screen call and know when to forward to receiving party. IN a similar way, the system knows the preferences of its user and uses one of an ordinary analog phone, digital (voice over IP etc.), or video.\*\*

15 Both parties call central "conference" system.

If both parties are unable to easily make non-800 calls or place calls at all without great expense, a central hub may be used. This hub may have 800 numbers for the two or more parties to call (a traditional conference call center) or may be able to call the parties, if billing arrangements are possible. Example -- overseas locations where calls to USA are expensive but  
20 calls from USA are much cheaper. A central system calls both parties (or all parties) and connects them.

Pager

Pager number or e-mail address is made available. A call is initiated by  
25 paging/requesting party who then calls other party or call center.

Call Center Version

Unlike single system, calls can be directed at a pool of several possible recipients. First available recipient handles call, removed from queue immediately so that others will not handle  
30 it. Ability to receive availability/scheduling information for the call center staff from call center's own computer systems. Additional priority factors -- customer service level, time spent on hold etc. Callers actually on hold intermixed with queued calls.

General Comments

a) The basic implementation of software 112 involves messages. Each system, both servers and clients and sub-servers, would normally wait for events, and then act on them. Events would include making a request to queue an RTM/request, and changes in the availability of a calling or called party. Events also include changes in information that  
5 determine the availability, and of course all the events of a call -- attempting connection, re-confirming availability, making the connection (ie. live audio or meeting) and then closing down the connection.

However, it may be necessary in some cases to "poll" for status rather than simply accepting events that change status. For example, if Internet filters, proxies, or firewalls make  
10 receiving incoming messages impossible, a client may have to poll every few minutes to check status. (This is quite common in Internet "push" clients.)

one embodiment involves two software clients, which talk directly to one another once they have figured out where they are on the Internet. However, in practice, intermediate servers can be used for the following purposes:

15 a) To map a human name or phone number to the current Internet address for the person to be called.

b) To deal with changing Internet addresses (due to DHCP dynamic host configuration) or to deal with shared Internet addresses, as when network address translation is used.

c) To broker status and connections between strangers

20 d) To record records on users, ie. so that one user may brand the other a liar if they called with a false opening message

e) e) To proxy connections to users behind firewalls.

f) To allow polling by users behind such firewalls

g) To receive advertising control information on the free client.

25 In certain embodiments, servers would include the "main" servers run by a central company, and proxy servers run by corporates who buy that software. Generally calls between strangers (two people who have never called one another) would start through a central server. Once parties know one another, it may be possible to call without the central server if they have static network addresses. If they have a dynamic or not permanently connected address, they  
30 may need to use a central server.

A call can still be rejected even when both parties are generally available. This is to protect the users' privacy. If a stranger calls a user, the stranger doesn't normally find out whether the user is available or not. Rather, the user can see whether the stranger is in or not (the strangers send the user a status change message) and then when the stranger is available and

the user is ready for a call, the call gets made. On the other hand, a user may have an accidental signal of availability or unavailability, and want to reverse it. In this case, the user's system sends out availability and the called party sends back its time for a call. At that time the user may decide to abort. If the user decides to abort often, it gets noticed by other users and affects  
5 their rating, much like a person constantly ringing your phone when you are not in who leaves caller-id records.

As noted, the technology while focused on the telephone call really arranges any sort of real-time "meeting." That includes face to face meetings. I.e., A user can request a meeting with his boss. When both are available, both systems notify the parties.

10 In certain embodiments, when a software client is initialized, it checks in with its server. There it can find out if there is a new client update available for the user, and download any new ad information. The client's basic state as being "up" is recorded by the server so the server can know to send it events as time goes by. Normally the connection would not be permanent though firewalls may force it in some instances. If the client uses a sub-server, that  
15 server is normally in charge of informing the master server about things. One reason to check with a sub-server is that this server will have been receiving state change information on parties for whom the user has queued calls while the user's client was down. The startup can sync this information if it's available, and update the user's system information.

20 RTM requests may come in directly, or may come through the server the client is connected to. RTM requests from strangers normally come in this way, to avoid disclosing private information to the stranger. In addition, servers may be used to anonymize communication, ie. both parties talk to the server so neither one (or anybody snooping) gets to see who is talking to  
25 whom.

Clients normally tell the central server they are going down (unavailable for future messages until further notice.) However, they will ping every so often, and a server that doesn't get pings will record the client down. Servers also have backup servers. If they go down, clients know to use the backup servers. When a server comes back  
30 up, it signals the servers that were handling its load, and they synchronize data and pass the load back to the server.

The actual sequence of a call is broken down into the following subtypes:

a) A calls non-intimate B, but B is not available. A now regularly informs B of any

changes in status. If B's system notices A is available, and B is available, B's system tells A it is time for the call. The systems decide who will actually call, and call is triggered.

b) A calls intimate B. Now A and B both inform the other of any change in status. The newly available caller will, in sending the new status, indicate it wants to start a call, and  
5 systems will go into deciding who will call and the call is triggered.

If call is over computer net, it is negotiated there. If the call is over POTS, computer net and POTS net work together. A dialer (not always the same as requester of the call) makes call.

This either happens because the Dialer's computer is able to make the call (i.e. a modem or CTI) or the dialer's computer pops up a message saying, "Now dial xxx-xxxx, and ask for Mr.  
10 Jones". The Dialed party's system goes into a waiting state. If it controls a modem answering the phone, it waits for the call. The call, when it comes in, will issue touch tones to get through.

Other callers who sneak in during this period can get diverted in some fashion (a short message and disconnect). If a user is answering his phone and system can't detect this, one of the users has to click that the call is active (or if they don't click anything after a while, it's assumed to  
15 be.) During all this, both callers are unavailable to others except those who can interrupt.

During the call events can still come in and be processed. The only one that is special is the request for interrupt, which can come from intimates who are enabled to do this, or those who declare an emergency. (Such declaration usually must go through central servers so it can be tracked to determine the trustworthiness of the user.) An interrupt doesn't stop the call but  
20 does pop up a message saying a caller wishes to interrupt. This may include text from the caller. The user can then accept the interrupt (much like call waiting) or deny it, possibly replying with some text.

A call failure (no answer) indicates the recipient is probably not really available, even though they are signaling this. This will cause their computer to flash (and even speak) a  
25 message asking the user to update their status. If the user doesn't respond, they will get marked unavailable. Especially if there are other clues to assist, like along idle time. This leaves a window on the screen to indicate the change when they come back.

When a user is unavailable (for example their screen saver has turned on) then when they return and touch the computer they will be on the path to being available. However, they will  
30 not generally be marked available to all immediately. A small window may pop up saying, "Do you wish to be available now" which will let them say yes, no, or click on callers to which they will be available.

However, if they have calls pending from intimates, those intimates may get a message of "pending availability", which they can use to decide if they want to urgently request a call,

even before the user had had a chance to look over their call queue.

When one user is not at a computer

In at least one embodiment, RTMs (mainly telephone calls) can be made where one of the parties is not at a network-connectable computer, or is not even a user of the system. Users registered in the system who normally use it from their "base" computer may be away from the system but still wish to use it to intermediate telephone calls. (Away from the system it is less likely to use it to arrange meetings.)

This can take place in a variety of ways, among them:

a) The party can, while using a computer, enter a schedule of both availability, and the telephone number at which the user will be reachable. For example, they might specify that for some or all callers, they will be available by at a cellular phone number either at specific times, or all times that they are away from a network connected computer. They might also schedule times to be available at a home number or remote numbers or even hotels.

b) The party might reach a general computer which has a web browser, and, using an access code, use general web pages associated with either central or proxy servers or their client to change and update their status and schedule and phone number. An "applet", using the Java system, might well provide them with much of the interface they are used to, even at a remote computer.

c) The party might call into to their own computer (if it answers the phone) or a central server. Once called in they could, through the use of touch-tones or voice recognition, give commands to alter their status and location for some or all other parties. If possible, they might do this simply, communicating the phone number they are at through the use of Caller-ID or Automatic Number Identification (ANI) to an 800 number. In this case, availability would be triggered shortly after hanging up this control call.

If calls are made with other parties who are at network connected computers, the other parties will provide signals to the system, to be sent to whatever system is controlling the user's calls, about when the user starts and ends calls with whom. If the user has a call with another party who is also not at a network connected computer, the system will either have to be told with another call that this call has ended, or simply have to guess. In such circumstances, the use of a central server which calls both parties and connects them has advantages.

When a user calls in to update status with touch tones, they may also be able to hear, with computer generated speech, their pending calls and priorities, and control what order they



are performed in. Eventually it is hope that cellular telephones in particular will provide native support for call intermediation using the present invention.

Non-users (non-members):

5 It is also necessary for telephone calls to take place between users ("members") of the system and non-users. While non-users are encouraged to join the system and run the necessary software, this is not a requirement. Non-users can participate in several ways, among them:

a) The non-user can become a temporary user by visiting a web page, and requesting calls with "member" users. The web page will feature buttons for the non-member to indicate  
10 their availability status. This web page, if left on the screen, can be used for this purpose, updating every few minutes using automatic mechanisms.

b) The non-user can download and run an "applet" in a web page using a system like Java. This applet can perform almost all the functions of the regular client, though it will not have access to machine information such as keyboard idle times to determine the non-member's  
15 availability.

Users satisfied with this level of control could actually become members through such an applet or full Java application.

c) Non-members, who would call members in the ordinary fashion, may have their call intercepted immediately by the member's client. This client could either pass calls  
20 through if the user is available and has no call pending, or it could intercept the call and perform a semi-traditional "voice mail" service to allow the caller to leave a message. This greeting message would probably instruct the caller how to use the system as a non-member or member, by directing the caller to a web page with such information.

25 The system could also accept touch tone or voice commands from the user to allow the user to enter a phone number or name. Caller-ID or ANI could also be used to extract this information. A call could then be queued in the system requesting the member to call the non-member when the member becomes available. It is also possible that the non-member could, using such inputs, specify times of availability, though the interface for that would be  
30 complex for an untrained non-member. (ie. "Enter the number of minutes you will be available at this number, followed by the pound sign.") Of course, as noted, the caller could just leave a message, which the member could access either with a voice-mail style interface or ideally over the computer using their client.

d) The operators of the central server could also offer telephone numbers (800 number or

otherwise) for non-members to use in calling the member. These numbers could feature routing of calls to the member if the member is available, or special voice mail functions such as above.

In these cases, when the non-member is not at a computer, obviously information about when the non-member is available or not is limited. The system may be forced to work with guesses.

- 5 However, if wrong guesses are made, and calls fail due to busy signals or no-answers, a member can record the failure to improve the guess on the non-member's availability.

In summary, the described embodiments of applicant's invention allow users to efficiently arrange RTMs, including but not limited to, telephone calls and meetings with a minimum of wasted time and effort. The embodiments described herein are presented as  
10 examples only, with the scope of the invention being represented by the following claims.

What is Claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method for the intermediation of real time meetings,  
comprising:  
receiving an indication by a requester system that a requester wants to request a  
5 realtime meeting with a target;  
sending to the target a request to conduct a real time meeting;  
queuing the request by the requester system; and  
connecting the requester and the target when the requester and the target are  
mutually available.  
10
2. The method of claim 1, further comprising dequeuing the request when the realtime  
meeting successfully completes.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein a system of the target is polled to determine the  
15 target's availability.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the system of the target sends the target's availability  
status to the requester.
- 20 5. The method of claim 1, wherein a system of the requester is polled to determine the  
requester's availability.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the system of the requester sends the requester's  
availability status to the target.  
25
7. The method of claim 1, wherein mutual availability is determined by checking the  
availability of the requester and the target.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein a request is sent to a plurality of targets and mutual  
30 availability is determined when the requester and a quorum of the targets are available.
9. A computer-implemented method for the intermediation of realtime meetings,  
comprising:

receiving, by a target system from a requester system, an indication that a requester wants to request a realtime meeting with a target;  
queuing the request by the target system; and  
connecting the requester and the target when the requester and the target are  
5 mutually available.

10. The method of claim 9, further comprising dequeuing the request when the realtime meeting successfully completes.

10 11. The method of claim 9, wherein a system of the target is polled to determine the target's availability.

12. The method of claim 9, wherein the system of the target sends the target's availability status to the requester.

15

13. The method of claim 9, wherein a system of the requester is polled to determine the requester's availability.

14. The method of claim 9, wherein the system of the requester sends the requester's  
20 availability status to the target.

15. The method of claim 9, wherein mutual availability is determined by checking the availability of the requester and the target.

25 16. The method of claim 9, wherein a request is sent to a plurality of targets and mutual availability is determined when the requester and a quorum of the targets are available.

17. A computer-implemented method for the intermediation of realtime meetings, comprising:

30 providing a data structure to hold requests for realtime meetings sent from a requester to a target;

reviewing the held requests to determine whether the requester and the target are mutually available; and

taking steps to cause the realtime meeting to occur, when the requester and the target are

mutually available.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein the realtime meeting has a highest priority of the requested realtime meetings.

5

19. The method of claim 17, wherein a highest priority request is determined by sorting on one or more of the following: who desired the call; relationship with the user; user-specified priority factors; elapsed time since the RTM was requested; expiration time on RTM request; expected remaining time of availability; anticipated call duration; past history; difficulty of reaching requester.

10

20. The method of claim 17, wherein availability of a user is determined by monitoring the activity of a user to determine whether the user is physically present; and if the user is physically present, displaying at least one request identifying a requester that had previously requested a realtime meeting with the user.

15

21. The method of claim 17, wherein the realtime meeting is conducted using a telephone.

22. The method of claim 17, wherein the realtime meeting is conducted using Internet telephony.

20

23. The method of claim 17, wherein the realtime meeting is specified as being a face to face meeting.

25

24. The method of claim 17, wherein a determination of whether the user is physically present is made by checking one or more of: start or end of a call; other use of phone; recent activity at computer input devices; conversation near microphone; lights turned on/off; weight in chair or on floor; a motion sensor; opening/closing of door; spoken commands; computer keyboard/mouse based commands; touchtone commands; and scheduled periods of availability.

30

25. The method of claim 17, wherein a determination of availability is made by monitoring the activity of the user.

26. The method of claim 17, wherein a determination of availability is made by monitoring the activity of the user's environment.

27. The method of claim 17, further comprising:

5           allowing the target to rate callers and to filter callers based on his own previously assigned ratings.

1/18




Fig. 1(a)

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

2/18




Fig. 1(b)




Fig. 1(c)

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



3/18






Fig. 1(d)



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

5/18




Fig. 2(c)




Fig. 2(d)




Fig. 2(e)




Fig. 2(f)

7/18






Fig. 3

8/18




SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

9/18




Check Queued RTM Requests  
Fig. 5



Events processed from main loop  
Fig. 6



11/18



Events Processed from Main Loop  
Fig. 7

12/18






Fig. 8

13/18



Call from Bob. Do you wish to accept?


Target User's System  
Fig. 9(a)



High priority call from your spouse. Do you wish to accept?

Target User's System  
Fig. 9(b)

14/18



Call from Bob (rating of "untrustworthy"). Do you wish to accept?

Target User's System  
Fig. 9(c)

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

15/18

Bob has become available. Do you wish to talk to Bob now?

Yes No

Calling User's System  
Fig. 9(d)

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

16/18

PhoneMeet Status: At Desk, ? hold all calls					
Status	Age	Caller	Pri	Reason	Info
In	1 hour	John Chang		Order	
Out	4 hours	Alice Jones		Budget Chat	
Unk	8 hours	Harold Jenkins		Referred by J. Birch	
Out	1 day	Bob Smith	U	Hiring Crisis	
In	2 days	Snidley Whip	!	Hot stock tip	Telemarketer(93%)
Blue: You called Them			Black: They called you		

Fig. 9(e)

Welcome back. 5 calls are pending, 2 by you, 2 available, 2 out, 1 unknown. Do you wish to:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Become available, taking the top pending call [XXX call description XXX] <input type="checkbox"/> Remain unavailable <input type="checkbox"/> Remain unavailable but examine call list to make call-by-call decisions

Fig. 9(f)

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

17/18


Running Advertisement			Picture of Caller
Caller/ You called:	John Chang	<input type="checkbox"/> End Call (& take next call)	
Duration	12:04 minutes	<input type="checkbox"/> Change Class (select bar)	
Local Time	4:32pm EST	<input type="checkbox"/> End call & hold calls	
Remote	1:32pm PST	<input type="checkbox"/> Rate the caller (select bar)	
Last Call	Jan 15, 1999	<input type="checkbox"/> Change call type	
Total Calls	12	<input type="checkbox"/> Configure special parameters	
First Call	May 19, 1998	<input type="checkbox"/> General customization	
Reason	Chat about Fred	Phone controls (if CTI), ie. transfer call, etc.	
Other information from local databases on the caller. Ie. "John Chang is V.P., Marketing for consumer division." etc.		Box for entry of notes on caller	
		Box for billing information	
			Click to go to caller's web page
Here would be the status window (specified in Fig. 9(f)) for pending calls			

Fig. 9(g)

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

18/18

Ready to call John Chang.

Please pick up phone, call 555-555-5555, wait, ask  
receptionist for "bubba" (code word).  
Click OK when call done

OK

Fig. 9(h)

Calling Mary Smith by Internet IP telephone.

Click cancel to stop.

Cancel

Fig. 9(i)



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat. Application No  
PCT/US 99/24387

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 H04M3/42 H04M3/56 H04M3/48 H04M3/523

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 557 777 A (SIEMENS AG) 1 September 1993 (1993-09-01)  column 6, line 50 -column 8, line 28	1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24,25
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 009, no. 318 (E-366), 13 December 1985 (1985-12-13) & JP 60 150373 A (NIPPON DENKI KK), 8 August 1985 (1985-08-08) abstract  -/-	1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24,25

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 April 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

18/04/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Vandevenne, M

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat. Application No  
PCT/US 99/24387

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 016, no. 344 (E-1239), 24 July 1992 (1992-07-24) & JP 04 104554 A (NEC CORP), 7 April 1992 (1992-04-07) abstract	1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24,25
X	--- "VOICE SYNTHESIS FOR CONFERENCE CALLING BY INTERFACE TO VARIABLE DISTRIBUTION LIST" IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN,US,IBM CORP. NEW YORK, vol. 37, no. 1, 1 January 1994 (1994-01-01), page 285 XP000428778 ISSN: 0018-8689 the whole document	1,8,9,16
A	--- WO 98 21870 A (ROLM SYSTEMS) 22 May 1998 (1998-05-22)	
A	--- EP 0 539 105 A (AMERICAN TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH) 28 April 1993 (1993-04-28)	
A	--- EP 0 755 144 A (AT & T CORP) 22 January 1997 (1997-01-22)	
A	--- US 5 559 876 A (ALPEROVICH VLADIMIR) 24 September 1996 (1996-09-24)	

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Internat. Application No

PCT/US 99/24387

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0557777	A	01-09-1993	AT 174463 T DE 59309197 D ES 2126609 T	15-12-1998 21-01-1999 01-04-1999
JP 60150373	A	08-08-1985	NONE	
JP 04104554	A	07-04-1992	NONE	
WO 9821870	A	22-05-1998	US 5872841 A CN 1236519 A	16-02-1999 24-11-1999
EP 0539105	A	28-04-1993	US 5311574 A CA 2069574 A,C DE 69227973 D DE 69227973 T JP 2686029 B JP 7007555 A MX 9205998 A	10-05-1994 24-04-1993 04-02-1999 08-07-1999 08-12-1997 10-01-1995 01-05-1993
EP 0755144	A	22-01-1997	US 5684872 A AU 703432 B AU 6056096 A CA 2174978 A JP 9149137 A	04-11-1997 25-03-1999 30-01-1997 22-01-1997 06-06-1997
US 5559876	A	24-09-1996	AU 6894096 A CN 1200216 A EP 0847644 A JP 11512265 T WO 9709815 A	27-03-1997 25-11-1998 17-06-1998 19-10-1999 13-03-1997

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☒ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**